

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/625,975 07/24/2003 John E. Hakala 1072-106.US 1695 7590 06/09/2005 **EXAMINER** Colin P. Abrahams KIM, CHRISTOPHER S Suite 400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 5850 Canoga Avenue Woodland Hills, CA 91367 3752

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | \sim | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--------------|--|
| | | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/625,975 | i | HAKALA, JOHN E. | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | Christopher | | 3752 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appe | ears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (c) period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. IS of 37 CFR 1.136 Imunication. (30) days, a reply vistatutory period will by will, by statute, of | 6(a). In no even within the statute ill apply and will cause the applic | t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | ,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| ,_ | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22 and 29-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | , | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4 | i) Interview Summary | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (| | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P | | 152) | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date | 1 7 1 0/58/08) | | 6) Other: | aton Application (F10- | 192) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/625,975

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species L, figures 26 and 27 in the reply filed on May 31, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 1-22 and 29-31 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on May 31, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "near" in claim 23 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

The term "near" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is uncertain what distance is defined by the term "near."

Claim 24 recites "three apertures" which appears to be a double inclusion of the "plurality of apertures" recited in claim 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pougnet (1,102,354).

Pougnet discloses a nozzle comprising: a water cannel b; a water deflector a; a deflector (vanes of pipe b) associated with the middle aperture and a deflector (vanes of pipe **b**) associated with the lower aperture.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,975

Art Unit: 3752

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK